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#### Abstract

This research aims to examine the effects of several refugia plant treatments on the diversity and number of ants in the ratoon sugarcane system. The diversity and abundance of ants in the plantation's surrounding habitats can fluctuate. Three combinations of flowering plants were used in the study: Turnera subulata Sm (Malpighiales: Passifloraceae), Cosmos sulphureus (Asteraceae: Asteraceae), and Zinnia elegans Jaqc (Asterales: Asteraceae). Ant samples were collected using yellow sticky traps and traps and yellow pan traps. R. software was used to examine all of the data. 4 subfamilies, 14 genera, and 25 species: Diacamma sp., Technomyrmex sp., Camponotus sp.1., Iridiomyrmex sp., Delichoderus sp., Leptogenys sp.; Odontomachus sp., Polyrachis sp., Pheidole sp., Tetramorium sp., Monomorium sp., Crematogaster sp., Oecophylla smaragdina was identified from the report's results, this included 1-86 individuals. Tetramorium sp. and Odonthomachus sp. were the most common ants found at the study site. Even before compared to the other treatments, the combination of refugia and Z. elegans, C. sulphureus, $T$. subulata treatment used to have a significant impact on the presence of ants, increasing the population by $21 \%$. This study discovered that combining refugia could increase ant diversity in ratoon sugarcane. The diversity index value for all treatments was almost the same, in the range of 2.-9-2.82, indicating that the main composition of refugia was moderately diverse.


## Introduction

Ants are actively used for pest control, with a more significant impact on plants with a high crop complexity. Sugarcane monocultures, for instance, do not supply sufficient food, shelter, or breeding grounds for animals that can operate as natural enemies. Furthermore, the potential for predatory species diversity spillover from remnant vegetation into sugarcane-dominated environments has not been examined. Understanding the relationship between agricultural intensification, biodiversity, and natural pest enemies is essential for improving biological control and other ecosystem services in agricultural
landscapes (Crowder \& Jabbour, 2014; Bengtsson, 2015; Rivera-Pedroza et al., 2019).

According to a study, ants can swallow up to 7\% of Diatraea saccharalis eggs in sugarcane fields, significantly reducing population density. Solenopsis sp. was the dominant ant genus Rossi \& Fowler, 2004; Wauters et al., 2014; Triyogo et al., 2020. Even nonherbivorous ant species can harm agricultural plants if they coexist with hemipteran insect pests that feed on melon (Javal et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2021). However, most anthemipteran interactions favour food crops since ants prey on more dangerous pests (DelClaro, 2004; Eubanks \& Finke, 2014; Xu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021). Ant colonies in

[^0]sugarcane fields are significantly reduced by agricultural practices such as tillage, and consequently, re-colonization from outside the areas is required to rebuild populations after sugarcane plantations (Thurman et al., 2019; Lazarova et al., 2021). Ant species have been observed to use semi-natural constructions to provide resources and other habitat services (Holland et al., 2017; Muhammad et al., 2022). According to De Oliveira et al. (2012); DinardoMiranda \& Fracasso, (2013); Pérez et al. (2021) research, the sugarcane borer $D$. saccharalis is a major pest of sugarcane, and ants are one of its primary predators. Solenopsis saevissima, as well as the morphotypes Crematogaster sp. and Pheidole sp., have the potential to be key borer predators on sugarcane plants whose straw is not burned. As a result, forest fragments in sugarcane-dominated landscapes are supposed means having played an important effect in the re-colonization process.

Ants' purpose is to defend plants from herbivores. Furthermore, ants often enhance soil conditions, promoting increased plant diversity. Ants can also play important roles in a variety of ecosystem processes, assisting in the maintenance of more resilient ecosystems in the face of large disturbances and habitat loss (Hagen et al., 2012; Wills \& Landis, 2018). by preserving landscape diversity and hence increasing ecosystem services Higher quality resources encourage ant foraging behavior on plants and provide more protection from other herbivores (Gray \& Lewis, 2014; Henry et al., 2018). Ants looking for honey or other resources can be a nuisance to blossoming plants (Sinu et al., 2017; Maharani et al., 2020). Ants play an important part in crop pollination, soil bioturbation, bioindication, and the regulation of plant-destroying insects in agrosystems (Diamé et al., 2018; Saunders et al., 2016).

The ratoon system of sugarcane is not only planted in monoculture but is also harvested by
burning the land, causing ecological damage and the death of natural enemies such as ants. Therefore, the research was carried out using different combinations of refugia to restore habitat complexity and the presence of ants in sugarcane plants. The flower plants used in this study were Cosmos sulphureus Cav. (Asterales: Asteraceae), Zinnia elegans Jaqc (Asterales: Asteraceae), and Turnera subulata J.E.Sm. (Malpighiales: Passifloraceae). It is reported that complex habitats can support greater species richness as they provide more ecological niches including available resources. This information is needed to determine the level of ant presence in a combination of various flower plants so that it can suppress pests in the sugarcane area.

## Materials and methods

Study site and sampling method
The research was carried out from March to June 2021 at the Indonesian Sweetener and Fiber Crops Research Institute (ISFCRI) of Kapuharjo, Karangploso Sub-District, Malang Regency, Indonesia. The plant used is ratoon system sugarcane with PS 862. Insect identification and clarification were carried out at the Entomology and Phytopathology Laboratory, Sweetener and Fiber Research Institute (ISCFRI), and Plant Pest Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Brawijaya University. The ants were obtained from three different types of flowers: Cosmos sulphureus (Asterales: Asteraceae), Zinnia elegans Jaqc (Asterales: Asteraceae), and Turnera subulata Sm (Malpighiales: Passifloraceae) (Figure 1). The cropping pattern of refugia plants in each treatment block of the study amounted to 24 refugia plants.

## Ant Identification

Sampling was carried out every week as many as 10 times sample. The ants obtained were preserved using $70 \%$ alcohol in an eppendorf tube. The identification stage is
carried out to the morphospecies level based on the identification book Hymenoptera of the world (Goulet, 1993), A Guide to the Ants of Jambi Identification Key to Ant Genera (Nazaretta, 2021). The variables observed were population, diversity, abundance, and dominance of ants in each treatment.

## Analisis Data

Data on the abundance of ants were analyzed for variance (ANOVA). Ant diversity for each treatment was assessed using the Shannon-Weiner index ( $H^{\prime}$ ), species dominance using the Simpson index (1-D), and species uniformity using the Evenness index (e') (Magurran, 2004). All statistical analyzes are performed using the $R$ version 4.1.0 software ( $R$ Core Team, 2022).

## Results and Discussion

The diversity of ants found in eight treatments has a total of 473 individual ants consisting of 25 species in 14 genera, which belong to 4 subfamilies, which have been collected including: Camponotus sp..1, Camponotus sp.2., Camponotus sp.3., Diacamma sp., Technomyrmex sp.1, Technomyrmex sp.2, Iridiomyrmex sp., Delichoderus sp., Leptogenys sp.1., Leptogenys sp.2., Odontomachus sp.1., Odontomachus sp.2., Polyrachis sp. 1 ., Polyrachis sp.2., Polyrachis sp.3., Polyrachis sp.4., Polyrachis sp.5., Polyrachis sp.6., Pheidole sp.1, Pheidole sp.2., Tetramorium sp., Tetramorium bicarinatum, Monomorium sp., Crematogaster sp., Oecophylla smaragdina (Figure 2).

The ant morphospecies found in high abundance were Tetramorium sp. (104 individuals), with $21.89 \%$ of the total abundance. The second most abundant morphospecies was Odontomachus sp. 1 followed by Pheidole sp.2. This is supported by research by Roldán et al. (2021) that the ant species Tetramorium sp. can compete with other ants foraging in sugarcane fields, because
ants of this species tend to compete for nest sites with other ant species. Tetramorium sp . is the most dominant species involved in the interspecific competition, affecting the abundance and diversity of other ant species (Perfecto \& Vandermeer, 2013; Derren, 2017) (Figure 2). This species is omnivorous, eating live or dead insects, melons, or any food source in disturbed areas (Smith 1965; Butler et al., 2018). However, when the population of $T$. bicarinatum is large, this ant species can be an effective predator against insect pests in the cropping system (Wetterer 2009; Suenaga, 2017). The role of ants is to protect plants from herbivores (Byk \& Del-Claro, 2010; Wills \& Landis, 2018) and possibly prey on D. saccharalis on sugarcane plant. A previous study showed that ants could consume up to $70 \%$ of $D$. saccharalis eggs in sugarcane fields, significantly reducing pest population densities (Wilson et al., 2020; Santos, unpublished data).

The combination treatment refugia combination of $Z$. elegans, $T$. subulata, and $C$. sulphureu had the highest population abundance with an average number of individuals (2.23-0.61). Refugia plants combined Z. elegans, T. subulata, and C. sulphureus flowers had a significant effect. Against the presence of ants in the area of ratoon sugar cane. ( $\mathrm{F}=2.388$, $\mathrm{P}>-.--1$ ) (Table 1). Yuniasari et al. (2021), stated that the diversity of ant species in the field could be influenced by habitat conditions, such as the density of canopy cover and undergrowth (weeds) in cocoa plantations. In addition, ants can be predators in ratoon cane plantations. Rossi \& Fowler (2000), found that generalist ants contribute to reducing sugarcane attacks by sugarcane borers in the state of So Paulo, Brazil (Rossi \& Fowler, 2004). Ants provide ecosystem services in agrosystems by playing a major role in crop pollination, soil bioturbation, bioindication, and regulation of plant-destroying insects (Diamé et al., 2018; Saunders et al., 2016).
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Figure 1. Treatment of the refugia plant composition (a). $R 0=C o n t r o l(w i t h o u t ~ r e f u g i a), ~(b) . R 1=Z . ~ e l e g a n s, ~(c) . ~ R 2=C . ~$ sulphureus, ( $d$ ) $\cdot R 3=T$. subulata, (e). R1R2=(Z. elegans $+C$. sulphureus), ( $f$ ). $R 1 R 3=(Z$. elegans $+T$. subulata), (g).R2R3=(C. sulphureus+ T. subulata), (h).R1R2R3=(Z. elegans+C. sulphureus+T. subulata). (i). Trap placement, refugia spacing, and planting area
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Figure 2. List of ant species recorded from all treatments plots in refugia plants in ratoon sugarcane (a). Diacamma sp.;(b).Technomyrmex sp.1; (c).Technomyrmex sp.2; (d).Camponotus sp.1; (e).Camponotus sp.2; (f).Camponotus sp.3; (g).Iridiomyrmex sp.; (h).Delichoderus sp.; (i).Leptogenys sp.1; (j).Leptogenys sp.2; (k).Odontomachus sp.1; (I).Odontomachus sp.2; (m).Polyrachis sp.1; (n).Polyrachis sp.2; (o).Polyrachis sp.3; (p).Polyrachis sp.4; (q).Polyrachis sp.5; (r).Polyrachis sp.6; (s).Pheidole sp.1; (t).Pheidole sp.2; (u).Tetramorium sp.1; (v).Tetramorium sp.2; (w).Monomorium sp.; (x).Crematogaster sp.; (y).Oecophylla smaragdina.
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Table 1. Species richness (S) and abundance ( $N$ ) of of ant in various compositions of refugia plants

| Refugia | Population of Ants |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Species $\pm$ SD | Individu $\pm$ SD |
| Control | $2.11 \pm 1.26 \mathrm{~b}$ | $0.99 \pm 0.37 \mathrm{c}$ |
| Z. elegans | $3.80 \pm 1.03 \mathrm{ab}$ | $1.75 \pm 0.36 \mathrm{abc}$ |
| C. Sulphureus | $2.90 \pm 1.96 \mathrm{ab}$ | $1.29 \pm 0.69 \mathrm{bc}$ |
| T. subulata | $3.11 \pm 1.53 \mathrm{ab}$ | $1.70 \pm 0.67 \mathrm{abc}$ |
| Z. elegans+C. Sulphureus | $3.00 \pm 1.33 \mathrm{ab}$ | $1.29 \pm 0.66 \mathrm{bc}$ |
| Z. elegans+ T. subulata | $4.10 \pm 1.96 \mathrm{ab}$ | $1.91 \pm 0.49 \mathrm{ab}$ |
| C. Sulphureus + T. subulata | $3.60 \pm 1.34 \mathrm{ab}$ | $1.67 \pm 0.37 \mathrm{abc}$ |
| Z. elegans+C. Sulphureus+T. subulata | $4.60 \pm 1.77 \mathrm{a}$ | $2.23 \pm 0.61 \mathrm{a}$ |

Remarks: The numbers followed by the same letter in the same column show differences that are not significantly different based on the Tukey test level $5 \%$.

Table 2. Values of ant indices for different compositions of refugia plants

| Refugia | Diversity Index |  | Evenness Index |  | Dominance index |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Score | Category | Score | Category | Score | Category |
| Control | 2.09 | Medium | 0.84 | Medium | 0.87 | High |
| Z. elegans | 2.62 | Medium | 0.89 | Medium | 0.86 | High |
| C. Sulphureus | 2.58 | Medium | 0.90 | Medium | 0.91 | High |
| T. subulata | 2.44 | Medium | 0.88 | Medium | 0.90 | High |
| Z. elegans+C. Sulphureus | 2.53 | Medium | 0.90 | Medium | 0.91 | High |
| Z. elegans+ T. subulata | 2.82 | Medium | 0.92 | Medium | 0.92 | High |
| C. Sulphureus+ T. subulata | 2.47 | Medium | 0.88 | Medium | 0.87 | High |
| Z. elegans+C. Sulphureus+T. subulata | 2.46 | Medium | 0.88 | Medium | 0.85 | High |

The highest Shannon-Wiener diversity index value of all combinations in this study was found in the Z.elegans and T. subulata combination (2.82) and the lowest in the control treatment (2.09). The Evenness evenness index was highest in the Z.elegans and $T$. subulata treatment ( 0.92 ) and the lowest in the control treatment (0.84). The value of the Simpsons dominance index was highest in the Z.elegans and T. subulata treatment (0.92) and the lowest in the control treatment (0.87) (Table 2). It was indicated by the presence of refugia plants that can attract ants, one of which was reported by (Cruz et al., 2018; Leksono et al., 2019; Asmoro et al., 2021) reported that extrafloral nectar at the base of the leaves of $T$. subulata can attract various ants in the planting area.

## Conclusions and Suggestion

In this study. 25 ant morphospecies were obtained with a total of 473 individuals-the combination between $Z$. elegans. The composition of $T$. subulata and $C$. sulphureus plants attracted ants significantly because of the complete flower composition. In contrast. Combining Z. elegans and $T$. subulata provided the highest diversity. Abundance. and dominance index values. It explains that ants are attracted to $T$. subulata and Z. elegans because these plants provide the nectar that ants need.
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